

Short-Term Outcomes and Risk Factors for Requiring Extracorporeal Life Support After Norwood Operation: A Single Center Retrospective Study

Asaad G. Beshish, MD¹; Alan Amedi, BS²; Ashley Harriott, BA²; Shayli Patel, BA²; Sean Evans, MD²; Amy Scheel, MD²; Yijin Xiang, MPH³; Subhadra Shashidharan, MD⁴; Vamsi Yarlagadda, MD⁵; Alaa Aljiffry, MD¹

¹Division of Pediatric Cardiology, Emory University School of Medicine; ³Biostatistician, Department of Pediatrics, Emory University School of Medicine; ⁴Division of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Emory University School of Medicine, Children's Healthcare of Atlanta; ⁵Division of Pediatric Cardiology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Lucile Packard Children's Hospital.

Background:

- Patients requiring extracorporeal life support (ECLS) after a Norwood operation constitutes an extremely high-risk group.
- Data regarding short-term and functional outcomes, and risk factors for requirement of ECLS post-Norwood operation are limited.

Methods:

- **Study Design:** Retrospective single center study.
- Inclusion Criteria: All patients with single ventricle (SV) physiology who underwent Norwood Operation from 01/2010 - 12/2020 (n = 269).
- Data included patient characteristics, demographics, operative variables, ECLS run details, and outcomes.

Conclusion:

- SV patients requiring ECLS following Norwood operation have a 43% survival rate.
- Of the survivors, 26% developed new morbidity and 7% developed unfavorable outcomes
- Patients with worse univentricular function in the preoperative transthoracic echocardiogram (TTE) and intraoperative transesophageal echocardiogram (TEE), delayed sternal closure, requirement of iNO and higher VIS scores in the immediate postoperative period, and required postoperative Cath had higher odds of requiring post-Norwood ECLS support.



Table 1. Logistic Regression Examining the Association of Risk Factors and Post-Norwood ECLS Requirement



	Univariable Analysis		Multivariable Analysis	
	Odds Ration	P-value	Odds Ration	P-value
	Reference		Refence	
	2.36 (0.84, 6.63)	0.103	1.52 (0.25, 9.31)	0.65
	9.80 (4.47, 21.52)	<0.0001	6.97 (1.51, 32.24)	0.013
	Reference		Reference	
	2.0 (1.12, 3.57)	0.019	2.65 (1, 7.01)	0.05
		0 000		-
	3.07(1.32, 7.14)	0.009		-
	0.19 (0.02, 1.42)	0.104		-
	Reference		Roforonco	
	$4 \ 90 \ (2 \ 14 \ 11 \ 23)$	0 0002	8 50 (2 17 33 23)	0 002
	$\pm .00 (2.17, 11.20)$	0.0002	0.00(2.17, 00.20)	
	Reference		Reference	
	3.52 (1.49, 8.31)	0.004	2.36 (0.59, 9.37)	0.224
	3.20 (1.22, 8.41)	0.018	0.41 (0.007. 2.36)	0.318
n)	1.13 (1.06, 1.2)	<0.0001	1.16 (1.05, 128)	0.004
/	1.09 (1.04, 1.15)	0.001	1.20 (1.06, 1.36)	0.003
	Reference		Reference	
	3.66 (1.76, 7.63)	0.001	1.05 (0.31, 3.54)	0.937
	Reference		Reference	-
	3.8 (1.88, 7.69)	0.0002	3.86 (1.16, 12.81)	0.028
	1.30 (1.15, 1.47)	<0.0001	0.93 (0.66, 1.31)	0.679
	1.54 (1.34, 1.76)	<0.0001	1.38 (1.02, 1.86)	0.038
	Deference		Deference	
		~0 0004		~
	IU.19 (J.00, ZU.5Z)	SU.UUU I	12.43 (4.38, 35.26 <i>)</i>	