

Children's Health

Mitigating Alarm Fatigue and Improving the Care Provider, Patient and Family **Experience through Reduction in Non-actionable Bedside Alarms**

INTRODUCTION

- Burden of bedside alarms is a well-established patient safety hazard with >85% of alarms in ICU settings considered non-actionable or "false alarms"
- Alarm fatigue can lead providers to have prolonged response times and silencing of clinically meaningful alarms
- Alarms can frequently disrupt care and cause unnecessary stress for patients and families
- Prior implementations of age based vital signs at Lucile Packard Children's Hospital (LPCH) and changes to pulse oximetry parameters at Cincinnati Children's Hospital have proven effective

HYPOTHESIS

- Creating alarm triggers for bedside monitors can decrease the frequency of nonactionable alarms without compromising patient safety
- The decreased burden of alarms on nurses, patients, and families may promote increased satisfaction and wellness

METHODS

- Single center quality improvement initiative in 26-bed cardiac acute care and 36-bed PICU
- Alarm burdens for pulse oximetry (SpO2), respiratory rate (RR), and premature ventricular contractions (PVC) were tallied on all monitored patients in the cardiac acute floor and PICU. The primary outcome was alarms per monitored patient day
- Pre-intervention observation period: 07/15/19 08/11/19
- Post-intervention observation period: 09/28/20 10/26/20
- Intervention
- RR alarm <0 & >100 (RR <3 triggering apnea alarm)
- PVC alarm 20/min (trigeminy)
- Hierarchical SpO₂ alarm delays (0-60sec)

Activate the appropriate setting based on your patients goal baseline O2 sats							
Mixers (goal 75-85%)		Septated (normal > 90%)					
SpO2 range	Time to Trigger		Alarm				
(1) High Sat	600s	SpO2 > 92%	Event: High Sat				
(2) 80-89%	60s		Event: 80-89%				
(3) 70-79%	30s		Event: 70-79%				
(4) 60-69%	15s		Event: 60-69%				
(5) 60-89%	30s	Catchall setting	Event: 60-89%				
(6) 60-74%	30s		Event: 60-74%				
<60%	0s	No delay trigger	Desat SpO2 <60				

Jeffrey K. Yang MD¹, Felice Su MD¹, Carlos DeSousa BS¹, Anna Graber PhD¹, Haley Hedlin PhD¹, Shannon Feehan MSN¹, Angela Graves MSN¹, Andrew Palmquist MSN¹, Rhonda Cable MSN², Nicolas Madsen MD, MPH³, Alaina K. Kipps MD, MS¹

¹Stanford University, Lucile Packard Children's Hospital, ²Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, ³UT Southwestern Medical Center

METHODS

- Nursing attitude surveys and family rest surveys with Likert scales during the pre and post intervention observation periods
- Balancing metrics include number of care escalations and codes in the year prior and after intervention

RESULTS

- A total of 2034 and 1968 monitored patient days (MPD) were evaluated in the pre- and post- intervention periods, respectively
- The median number of cardiorespiratory monitor alarms (PVC, SpO2, RR) per MPD the PICU

Figure 1. Mean Alarms per Monitored Patient Day (MPD)

	Cardiology Acute Care Mean Alarms per MPD			Pediatric ICU Mean Alarms per MPD			
	Pre	Post	Pre		Post		
Total	25.67	8.66		19.11	6.20		
PVC	1.07	0.18		0.28	0.13		
RR	7.52	2.53		8.57	3.15		
SpO2	16.85	5.95		10.26	2.92		

Figure 2. Box Plots – Alarms per Monitored Patient Day (MPD)



decreased from 5 to 0 (p < 0.001) in acute care cardiology floor and 6 to 0 (p < 0.001) in

- categories also decreased.

	Family Rest Surveys			Nursing Attitude Surveys				
	Pre		Post		Pre		Post	
English		109		51		117		136
Spanish		26		9				

- Nursing surveys reported positive trends of more manageable alarm burden and decreased perceived number of alarms
- Family surveys, however, had negative trends towards increased frequency of perceivec alarms and decreased sleep

• Implemented changes to bedside monitor alarm conditions decreased total alarm frequency in both cardiac acute care and pediatric ICU without compromising safety.

RESULTS

• When evaluating patient days with at least 1 alarm, median alarms decreased by 73% (15 to 4, p < 0.001) in both acute care and ICU. Alarm burden in RR, SpO2, and PVC

• No increases in frequency of care escalations or code events in either unit

• A total of 253 nursing attitude surveys and 160 family rest surveys were performed during pre and post intervention periods



Figure 3. Selected Nursing Attitude Survey Questions

Conclusions

 Nursing surveys reflect improved alarm burden and decreased non-actionable alarms. The negative trend in the family attitudes may reflect different patient populations in the two time periods and confounded by COVID-19 pandemic.